

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,833	07/19/2005	Fabio Giannessi	2801-139	5009
23117	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V	
	N, VA 22203	OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1614	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,833	GIANNESSI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shirley V. Gembeh	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,6-9 and 15 is/are  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 10-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accertance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request that any objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request the objected to be applicant may not request the objected to by the Examine and applicant may not request the objected to be appl	withdrawn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the fidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/9/06, →1/9/05 6//7/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on June 17, 2005, July 19, 2005 and May 09, 2006 has been received and acknowledged.

The information disclosure statement filed July 17, 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because item 1 (under other documents) is not a published document. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Also the information disclosure of July 17, 2005 is not considered. It is a duplicate of July 119, 2005.

# **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Response to Election

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I claims 1-14 in the reply filed on May 09, 2006 is acknowledged.

# **Status of Claims**

Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claims 1-14 are elected.

Claims 1, 4-5 and 10-14 are been examined as drawn to the elected species.

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 2-3 and 6-9 and 15 are withdrawn because they are drawn to a non-elected species.

### Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the items listed should be replaced by either (i) or i) to remove improper intra periods. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 4 is also objected to because the gap between the word for example (i) methyl and 2-[3-2...... Does the gap indicate a missing word? Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 4-5 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. This claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966). The term "use of" is not among the statutory class of inventions.

Art Unit: 1614

Thus, the use of formula is not supported by a well established utility and for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

However in order to advance prosecution, this claim will be treated as a method of use claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4-5 and 10-14 provides for the use of formula I, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1, 4-5 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "possibly" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention, because one of skill will not be able to determine which term is in control. One interpretation is that it is substituted, while the other interpretation is it is not.

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim **1, 4-5 and 10-14** are <u>provisionally</u> rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim **1 - 9** of U.S. Patent Application No. **10501135**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The reasons are as follows:

Both sets of claims refer to the same chemical core compound for the treatment of hyperlipidemia. The current application claims anticipate the copending application claims

Application/Control Number: 10/539,833 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Both applications recite using the same compositions and/or derivatives thereof. See current application claims 1, 4-5 and 10-14 and copending application claims 1 -9. The compositions recited in the claims are anticipatory of each other.

In view of the foregoing, the copending application claims and the current application claims are obvious variations.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SVG 7/117/06